

save expense for the parties. See, e.g., Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., 69 F. Supp. 2d 404,

406 (W.D.N.Y. 1999). However, if it appears that the reexamination will not be effected within

1

27

28

07CV2278

a reasonable time, Plaintiff may move to vacate the stay. Additionally, any party may apply to the Court for an exception to the stay if it has specific, valid reasons to believe that it needs to obtain discovery in order to preserve evidence that will otherwise be unavailable after the stay. Defendant is ordered to file a notice informing the Court of the PTO's decision on the pending application for reexamination within 10 days of receipt of such decision.

Finally, during the status conference held on February 25, 2008, the Court discussed with the parties the option of transferring the various cases filed by Plaintiff regarding the '184 patent and initiating a consolidated multi-district litigation proceeding. The parties informed the Court that they would consider the matter and inform the Court of its decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 28, 2008

Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz United States District Judge

07CV2278